



The Asian Nuclear Safety Network

## Site Selection, Safety Review, Safety Confirmation, and Monitoring

## 7. Foundation and Slope Stability (SER 2.5.4 & 2.5.5)

## Taek-Mo SHIM

k147stm@kins.re.kr

## Korea Institute of Nuclear Safety

**Structural Systems and Site Evaluation Department** 

*June 2012* 









The Asian Nuclear Safety Network

## Site Selection, Safety Review, Safety Confirmation, and Monitoring

## 7. Foundation and Slope Stability (SER 2.5.4 & 2.5.5)

## Taek-Mo SHIM

k147stm@kins.re.kr

## Korea Institute of Nuclear Safety

**Structural Systems and Site Evaluation Department** 

*June 2012* 









### Contents

## **Basic Information**

**Design considerations of Foundation** 

**Engineering properties of rocks** 

Foundation stability evaluation







## Contents

## **Basic Information**

Design considerations of Foundation Engineering properties of rocks Foundation stability evaluation Slope stability evaluation







## **Basic Information**

## Basic considerations

- Foundation stability evaluation methods, investigation or test methods are dependent on the site condition (rock site or soil site)
- Full understanding of geological characteristics is necessary before selecting the evaluation and test methods
- The range of application and restrictions of test methods are considered

## Understanding of representative value

- Not one method of test results are utilized for the determination of the property value
- Results from more than two test methods are utilized
- Special attention be given the No. of test(samples) and its standard deviation





### **Basic Information**

- Rock and rock mass discrimination
  - Rock (material)
    - Intact rock with no joint
    - Used for Rock classification and characterization

### Rock mass

Whole rock with joint, it represents the real condition of foundation materials

- Large-scale rock mass behavior must be considered in all real rock engineering problem
- Lab. Test on the specimen is only one step for understanding of in-situ rock performance







### Contents

## **Basic Information**

Design considerations of Foundation Engineering properties of rocks Foundation stability evaluation Slope stability evaluation







## Design considerations of foundation

## Stable condition of foundation

- Stable geologic condition with homogeneous subsurface materials
- Suitable bearing capacity with limited (differential) settlement
- Major evaluation items
  - Bearing capacity
  - Settlement or differential settlement
  - Liquefaction potential
  - Seismic wave propagation characteristics
  - Slope stability
  - Possibility of improvement of weak foundation materials





## Design considerations of foundation

**4**Basic data necessary to evaluate the foundation stability

- Geological characteristics and geological structure
- Static engineering properties
  - Unit weight, poisson's ratio, compressive strength, young's modulus, deformation modulus, etc.
- Dynamic engineering properties
  - Poisson's ratio, young's modulus, compressional/shear wave velocity, seismic wave velocity profile
- Ground water condition
  - Scroundwater level, water quality, existence of artesian condition, etc
- •Layout of the facilities and the nature of the structural foundation
- •Characteristics of permanent or temporal cut slope





### Contents

## Basic Information Design considerations of Foundation Engineering properties of rocks Foundation stability evaluation Slope stability evaluation







Representative geotechnical investigations and tests

- Surface geologic investigation
- Boring (borehole logging)
- Trenches
- Geophysical exploration (seismic wave velocity and velocity structure)
- Groundwater exploration
- In-situ test (rock mass deformation test, Point Load Test, Standard Penetration Test, etc.)
- Laboratory test (index test, compressive strength, sonic velocity, etc.)





Uniaxial compressive strength(Qu)

- Using for the the determination of bearing capacity of foundation material
- Triaxial/Uniaxial compressive strength test

## Uniaxial compressive strength test

>  $Qu = P/A = 4P/\pi D^2$  D:Diameter of core, P:stress acting parallel to axis of core,

A: cross section of the core

- Point load test (**PLT**) : in-situ test for obtaining point load index
  - > Is = P/d<sup>2</sup>, Qu = 24 I<sub>s(50)</sub> P:failure pressure, I<sub>s(50)</sub>:point load index
  - Correction :test results are corrected at D=50mm
  - Is<sub>(50)</sub>=F\* Is(F: correction factor,(D/50)<sub>0.45</sub>, Is : Point index by direct measurement)

> In general,  $Qu = 24 * Is_{(50)}$  (about 20-25 times) is applied





## Example of uniaxial compressive strength(Qu) determination using point load index









## Selection of representative value (R.V.)

- Direct measurement : in-situ test (rock mass deformation test, joint characteristics, groundwater level, etc.)
- Indirect measurement : correlation with related parameters (Rock Mass Rating, Rock Quality Designation, velocity index, etc.)
- Selection of R.V. : Consideration of test reliability and site condition

## Rock mass deformation modulus, (Ed)

- Used for evaluation of deformation characteristics and settlement for foundation materials
- Direct measurement using the stress-strain relationship
- Indirect measurement correlation with related parameters





## Rock mass deformation modulus, (Ed)

- Direct measurement
  - > Jack test, elastometer test, etc
- Indirect measurement
  - Correlation with RMR (Rock mass rating), RQD (Rock Quality Designation) and Velocity index
  - RMR method : Rating according to compressive strength, RQD, Spacing of joint, nature of joint (surface roughness, fillings, and aperture), joint orientation, and groundwater condition
    - ✓ Correction according to joint geometry
    - ✓ Determination of rock mass deformation modulus using the relationship of the modulus and RMR (Bieniawski, 1978)





#### Definition of **core recovery** and **RQD**

	I	Recovery				Compressive	Rating	
	Core (all)	Modif.core (100 + mm)				strength (MPa)		
0	25		% Recovery =	<u>1384</u> x 100 = 86.5	5%	> 250	15	
	132	132	RQD =	$\frac{1208}{1600}$ x 100 = 75.8	5%	100-250	12	
	10 139	139	Rock quality =	"fair"		50-100	7	
	135	135				25-50	4	
)	23	120				10-25	2	
i = 1600 mm	116	116	Rock quality <sup>a</sup>	RQD, % 0 - 25 25 - 50 50 - 75	Rock quality <sup>a</sup> RQD, %		2-10	1
	232	232	Very poor Poor Fair			1-2	0	
Bur	14		Good Excellent	75 - 90 90 -	• Core	<ul> <li>Core recovery is the ratio of core length to total lengt</li> </ul>		
	222	222	<sup>a</sup> From Deere(	(1968) expr		essed as percentage	e longth of rock cor	
	112	110			piece	s longer than 10cm	1. It expressed as a	
	46	112			perce	childye of a given it		
خا	Σ =1384	Σ =1208					10	





RMR Score and Rock Quality by RQD				RMR Score by Joint Spacing		
RQD (%)	Score	Rock Quality		Joint Spacing (m)	Score	
90 ~ 100	20	Excellent		>2.0	20	
75 ~ 90	17	Good		0.6 ~ 2.0	15	
50 ~ 75	13	Fair		0.2 ~ 0.6	10	
20 ~ 50	8	Poor		0.06 ~ 0.2	8	
<25	3	Very Poor		<0.06	5	

#### RMR Score by Joint Condition

Joint Condition	Score	
Very rough surface within a limited range; hard rock		
Slightly rough surface; less than 1 millimeter in joint width; hard rock		
Slightly rough surface; less than 1 millimeter in joint width; soft rock		
Smooth surface; gouge-filling substances with the thickness of 1-5 millimeters;		
joint extended for over several meters		
Open joint filled with gouge of over 5 millimeters in thickness	0	
Open by over 5 millimeters; joint extended for over several meters		





RMR Score by Groundwater Condition

Water Inflow per Tunnel Length of 10 Meters (L/min)	Water Pressure or within Joint/ Principal Stress	or	Ordinary Condition	Score
Not applicable	0		Completely dry	15
< 10	$0.0 \sim 0.1$		Humid	10
10 ~ 25	0.1 ~ 0.2		Wet	7
25 ~ 125	0.2 ~ 0.5		Water drops dripping	4
> 125	> 0.5		Fluid	0

#### Grades of Rock Quality by RMR

Grade	Rock Quality	RMR
I	Very good rock	81 ~ 100
II	Good rock	61 ~ 80
III	Fair rock	41 ~ 60
IV	Poor rock	21 ~ 40
V	Very Poor rock	0 ~ 20





Rock mass deformation modulus determination using RQD and uniaxial compressive strength (Plate jacking Test at Dworshak Dam, Deer, 1967)



Rock mass deformation modulus determination using velocity index  $(V_F/V_L)^2$  and modulus ratio  $(E_d/E_{50})$  (Coon and Merritt, 1970)







## Example of rock mass deformation modulus determination

	XX Pla	ant unit 1	XX Plant unit 2		
	Seismic Class I	mic Class I Non-seismic Class I		Non-seismic Class I	
	Struct.	Struct. Struct.		Struct.	
RQD(%) 2.41 2.17		2.07	1.65		
RMR	3.80	2.20	2.60	2.00	
In-situ Test	2.37	2.30	2.87	3.20	
Velocity Index	2.08		2.01		
Index value(mean)		2.40	2.30		





Procedural example of unconfined compressive strength determination







• Procedural example of rock mass deformation determination







### **Contents**

## **Basic Information**

**Design considerations of Foundation** 

**Engineering properties of rocks** 

## Foundation stability evaluation







## Procedure for foundation stability analysis







## Velocity structure model

- Development of the seismic response characteristics of foundation materials : site-specific response spectrum
- If the s-wave velocity is equal or more than specified value, then the foundation assumed to be a fixed base
- If the s-wave velocity is less than specified value, then the soil structure interaction (SSI) analysis should be conducted
  - The material with s-wave velocity less than specified value does not mean unsuitable for foundation materials







Spacing of discontinuities	Ksp	Spacing width (m)
Moderately close	0.1	0.3 - 1
Wide	0.25	1 - 3
Very Wide	0.4	> 3





Settlement evaluation for rock site

$$\rho = \frac{P(1 - v_m^2)}{\beta_z E_m A^{0.5}} \qquad \rho = \frac{0.9P}{E_m A^{0.5}} = \frac{0.9P}{\alpha_E E_r A^{0.5}} \qquad \alpha_E = \frac{Ei}{E_r}$$

• P: load, Vm : poisson's ratio of rock, Em: young's modulus of rock,

*A*: foundation area, βz: foundation shape coefficient

- For rock site, the settlement may negligible
- Computer simulation, 1.0 inch is accepted as allowable criteria
- In case of differential settlement, the safety of pipes between Structures should be considered
- Liquefaction potential evaluation
  - When the site is composed of rock materials, then this analysis is not needed







Geological and geotechnical map of foundation material







## Development of discontinuities in foundation rock



Rose diagram for dykes in the foundation rock



## Rose diagram of fault in the foundation rock





22

## Foundation stability evaluation

Improvement of unsuitable foundation and slope materials













### Contents

## **Basic Information**

**Design considerations of Foundation** 

**Engineering properties of rocks** 

Foundation stability evaluation







- Evaluation of slope stability
  - Slopes are divided into rock slope and soil slope type
  - Different evaluation methods are applied according to the slope type
  - Static and dynamic analysis shall be conducted
  - Special attention should be paid to the temporal slope such as cut slope during construction
- Basic information (Data requirements)
  - Dimensions and the type of slope
  - Geologic characteristics especially information about discontinuities
  - Grounder water condition
  - Geophysical exploration results
  - Borehole logging and borehole 3-D images





- Analysis of slope stability
  - For soil slope the factor of safety has minimum value of 1.5 in static analysis and of 1.2 in dynamic analysis
  - For rock site numerical analysis and stereo net-based graphic analysis is possible
- Treatment of unstable slope
  - Lowering the slope angle, drainage, anchoring, rock bolting, grouting, shot-crete, etc.
- Monitoring of long-term slope stability
  - Monitoring of groundwater condition, slope angle, etc





#### **4** Example of surface geological map for slope stability analysis





#### Example showing plan and wedge failure analysis results









### Detailed on-site slope investigation



















### •Closure

# Thank you for your attention!

