Joint KINS-IAEA-ANNuR/ANSN/FNRBA BPTC Course on Nuclear Safety, 19 ~ 30 September 2022, KINS, Korea

In-plant Accident Management: *Emergency Operating Procedure(EOP) Severe Accident Management Guideline (SAMG)*



Manwoong KIM

Korea Institute of Nuclear Safety

This lecture note is prepared as a lecture note for the education and training of KACARE so that any parts of this material may not be allowed to reproduce without the prior written permission of the KINS

Contents

- 1. Concept of Accident Management
- 2. Emergency Operation Procedure (EOP)
 - 1) Over view
 - 2) Strategy
 - 3) Functional Recovery Guidelines
 - 4) Case: Station Blackout ORG
- 3. Severe Accident Management Guidelines (SAMG)
 - 1) Severe Accident Management Guidelines
 - 2) Technical Basis
 - 3) Improvements since Fukushima
- 4. Concluding remarks

1. Concept of Accident Management



IAEA Safety Requirement

IAEA Safety Standards for protecting people and the environment

Safety of Nuclear Power Plants: Commissioning and Operation

Specific Safety Requirements No. SSR-2/2 (Rev. 1)

Requirement 19: Accident management programme

The operating organization shall establish, and shall periodically review and as necessary revise, an accident management programme.

• To cover the preparatory measures, procedures and guidelines, and equipment that are necessary for preventing the progression of accidents, including accidents more severe than design basis accidents, and for mitigating their consequences.

IAEA Safety Guide



- An accident management programme encompasses plans and actions undertaken to ensure that the plant personnel and other operating organization personnel with responsibilities for accident management are adequately prepared to decide on and implement effective on-site actions.
- If an accident occurs at a nuclear power plant, to restore safety, two types of accident management guidance document are typically used: emergency operating procedures (EOPs) for preventing fuel rod degradation, and severe accident management guidelines (SAMGs) for mitigating significant fuel rod degradation when a severe accident is coming.

Objectives of Accident Management

- Accident management is actions to respond to and recover from an accident situation with an aim:
 - To prevent accident progression
 - To mitigate accident consequences
- Preventive Actions
 - To prevent the acceleration of the event into a severe accident so as to delay or prevent core damage
 - Examples:
 - primary system feed and bleed
 - depressurize RCS to inject water
- Mitigatory Actions
 - To mitigate consequence of core damage so as to:
 - To maintain the integrity of the containment, and
 - To minimize releases of radioactive material.
 - Examples:
 - vent containment (protect containment boundary integrity)

• depressurize reactor system (prevent high pressure vessel failure Korea Institute of Nuclear Safety



Operational Strategy in the plant states

OPERATIO	DNAL STATES	ACCIDENT CONDITIONS					
DiD level 1	DiD level 2	DiD level 3		DiD level 4			
	Anticipated	Design Basis Accidents (DBAs)	Design Extension Conditions (DECs)				
Normal Operation (NO)	Operational Occurrences (AOOs)		without significant core degradation	Severe Accidents (significant core degradation)			
High quality equ	•	Safety systems and other surveillance features including SSCs	 Complementary measures to DECs Severe accident analysis information (FSAR) 				
ringii quanty equ		Safety analysis information (FSAR)	to prevent core melt	to mitigate consequence of core melt			
Normal Operating Procedures	Abnormal Operating Procedures	Emergency Operating Procedures Acc Guid		Severe Accident Guidelines			

Operational Strategy (cont'd)











Korea Institute of Nuclear Safety

Operational Strategy (cont'd)

If EOP are no longer effective

→ transition to SAMG



2. Emergency Operation Procedure (EOP)

(1) Overview and strategy

- (3) Optimal Recovery Guideline
- (2) Functional Recovery Guideline



Overview (cont'd)

- EOP is state-oriented procedures
 - Operator can diagnose the state of the NSSS, and determine the actions.
- Two EOP approaches

1 Event based procedures

- Procedures are based on the expected sequence of the incident or accident (e.g., LOCA, SGTR, etc.)
- Applicable for a single initiating event

2 Symptom based procedures

- Strategies respond to any developing accident
- Based on symptoms, deriving from the concept of recovery for critical safety function restoration.



Overview (cont'd)

Format

KINS

- Sequential step list style :
- two column format style
- Flow Chart Format
- Block Diagram Format
- Logic Chart Format







CHR -

- 🛞

California -

Strategy of EOP



(1) SPTA (Standard Post Trip Action) and DA(Diagnostic Action)

1 SPTA

- Purpose
 - Check the safety functions against acceptance criteria
 - Restore the safety functions in jeopardy
- Safety Functions
 - Reactivity control
 - AC and DC electrical Power
 - RCS inventory control
 - RCS pressure control
 - Core heat removal
 - RCS heat removal
 - Containment isolation
 - Containment temperature and pressure control
 - Containment combustible gas control

① Contents of SPTA



SPTA (1)

1. ENTRY CONDITIONS

- when ANY of the following symptom(s) of a Reactor Trip exist:
 - Reactor trip alarm
 - [CEA] bottom lights on
 - Rapid drop in reactor power
 - Reactor trip circuit [breakers] open
 - RPS trip setpoint exceeded



SPTA (2)

2. Verify Safety Function

4.0 INSTRUCTIONS/CONTINGENCY ACTIONS						
		INSTRUCTIONS		CONTINGENCY ACTIONS		
	1.	Verify Reactivity Control {spta}				
	Determine that Reactivity Control acceptance criteria are met:					
		a. <u>Verify</u> that Reactor Power is lowering.	a .1	Perform the following as necessary to insert CEAs:		
				1) Manually <u>trip</u> the reactor.		
				 <u>De-energize</u> the [CEA motor generator]. 		
				3) <u>Open</u> the reactor trip breakers.		
		b. [Verify that Startup Rate is negative.]				
		 <u>Verify</u> that no more than [one full length CEA] is NOT inserted. 	C.1	Borate the RCS to achieve [adequate shutdown margin].		

SPTA (3)



SPTA (4)

INSTRUCTIONS

- 7. Verify Containment Isolation {spta} <u>Determine</u> that the Containment Isolation acceptance criteria are met:
 - <u>Verify</u> that containment pressure is less than [maximum expected normal containment pressure].
 - b. <u>Verify</u> NO containment area radiation monitor [alarms], or unexplained rise.
 - c. <u>Verify</u> NO steam plant activity monitor [alarms], or unexplained rise.

CONTINGENCY ACTIONS

a.1 IF containment pressure is greater than [CIAS setpoint], THEN ensure CIAS is initiated.

SPTA (5)

3.0 EXIT CONDITIONS

Standard SPTAs may be exited in one of two possible ways:

1. IF all safety function acceptance criteria are met,

AND NO contingency actions were performed,

THEN GO TO Reactor Trip Recovery procedure.

- 2. IF ANY safety function acceptance criteria are NOT met,
 - OR ANY contingency action was taken,

THEN GO TO Diagnostic Actions Guideline to diagnose the event.



SPTA (6)

CONTINGENCY ACTIONS

11. Direction to Diagnostic Actions.

INSTRUCTIONS

11. Direction to Diagnostic Actions {spta} IF any Safety Function Acceptance Criteria are NOT met, OR any contingency action was taken, THEN diagnose Diagnostic Actions Guideline.

End of Section 4.0



2. Emergency Operation Procedure (EOP) (1) Strategy (2) Optimal Recovery Guideline (2) Functional Recovery Guideline



ORG (Optimal Recovery Guideline)

Purpose

- This guideline provides the operator actions which should be accomplished in the event.
- The actions in this guideline are necessary to ensure that the plant is placed in a stable, safe condition.



ORG (cont'd)

- Grouped into 7 classes
 - 1 Reactor Trip (RT) Reactivity Induced Accidents
 - 2 Loss of Coolant Accident (LOCA) Decrease in RCS Inventory
 - 3 Steam Generator Tube Rupture (SGTR) Decrease in RCS Inventory and Containment Bypass
 - Excess Steam Demand Event (ESDE) Increase in heat removal through secondary side
 - 5 Loss of All Feedwater (LOAF) Decrease in heat removal through secondary side
 - 6 Loss of Offsite Power (LOOP) Decrease in RCS flow
 - **7** Station Blackout (SBO) Beyond Design Basis Accident

Classification of Initiating Events



CEAE: Control Element Assembly Ejection LOCA: Loss of Coolant Accident SGTR: Steam Generator Tube Rupture SLB: Steam Line Break FLB: Feeder Line Break LR: Reactor Coolant Pump Locked Roter

Case : SBO ORG

2.0 ENTRY CONDITIONS

IF a SBO is evident, as indicated by ANY of the following plant conditions:

- [Loss of control room lighting]
- Extensive loss of various indications
- **AND ONE** of the following is true:
 - The SPTA have been performed [Mode 1 or Mode 2]
 - ▶ [SIAS has **NOT** been blocked] [Mode 3 or Mode 4]

THEN ENTER the SBO Recovery Guideline.



3.0 EXIT CONDITIONS

1. <u>The diagnosis of a Station Blackout is **NOT** confirmed.</u>

or

2. <u>ANY of the Station Blackout Safety Function Status Check acceptance</u> <u>criteria are NOT satisfied.</u>

<u>or</u>

- 3. The Station Blackout guideline has accomplished its purpose by satisfying **ALL** of the following:
 - At least one vital [4.16 kV] electrical AC bus has been restored
 - All <u>Safety Function status check acceptance criteria</u> are being satisfied
 - An <u>appropriate procedure</u>, which has been provided and approved by the [Plant Technical Support Center or the Plant Operations Review Committee], can be implemented

















Example: list of EOPs

E-0 Reactor Trip or Safety Injection

ES-0.0 Re-diagnosis ES-0.1 Reactor Trip Response ES-0.2 Natural Circulation Cooldown ES-0.3 Natural Circulation Cooldown With Steam Void in Vessel

E-1 Less of Reactor or Secondary Coolant

ES-1.1 SI TerminationES-1.2 Post-LOCA Cooldown and DepressurizationES-1.3 Transfer to Cold Leg RecirculationES-1.4 Transfer to Hot Leg Recirculation

E-2 Faulted Steam Generator Isolation

E-3 Steam Generator Tube Rupture

ES-3.1 Post-SGTR Cooldown Using Backfill ES-3.2 Post-SGTR Cooldown Using Blowdown ES-3.3 Post-SGTR Cooldown Using Steam Dump

ECA-0.0 Loss of All AC Power (SBO) ECA-0.1 Loss of All AC Power Recovery Without S.I. Required ECA-0.2 Loss of All AC Power Recovery With S.I. Required ECA-1.1 Loss of Emergency Coolant Recirculation ECA-1.2 LOCA Outside Containment ECA-2.1 Uncontrolled Depressurization Korea Institute of Nuclear Safety



2. Emergency Operation Procedure (EOP) (1) SPTA (2) Optimal Recovery Guideline (3) Functional Recovery Guideline



FRGs (Functional Recovery Guidelines)

Purpose

- This guideline provides operator actions <u>for events in which a diagnosis is not</u> <u>possible</u>, or for which <u>an optimal recovery guideline is not sufficient</u>.
- The actions of this guideline are necessary to ensure that the plant is placed in a stable, safe condition.



FRGs (cont'd)

- FRGs
 - 11 procedures have been developed.
 - Resources Assessment Tree (RAT)
 - Safety Functions Status Check (9 Functions)
 - Reactivity Control (RC)
 - Maintenance of Vital AC Power (MVA)
 - RCS Inventory Control (IC)
 - RCS Pressure Control (PC)
 - RCS and Core Heat Removal (HR)
 - Containment Isolation (CI)
 - Containment Temperature and Pressure Control (CTPC)
 - Containment Combustible Gas Control (CCGC)
 - Long Term Action (LTA)



FRGs (cont'd)

- Implemented in case an event cannot be diagnosed
- Checks safety functions against acceptance criteria
- Focuses on jeopardized safety functions to restore
- If CET (Core exit thermocouple temperature) exceeds 1200°F, Operator terminate FRGs and start SAMGs.


Case : FRG (1)

2.0 ENTRY CONDITIONS of FRG

IF a FRG event is evident, as indicated by ANY of the following plant conditions:

ANY condition, or pattern of symptoms, <u>for which abnormal or emergency</u> <u>guidance can NOT be identified</u>

Actions taken in an Optimal Recovery Guideline are <u>NOT satisfying the</u> <u>acceptance criteria</u> in the Safety Function Status Check

Multiple events are in progress

AND ONE of the following is true:

The SPTA have been performed [Mode 1 or Mode 2]

[SIAS has NOT been blocked] [Mode 3 or Mode 4]

THEN ENTER the Functional Recovery Guideline.



Case : FRG (cont'd)

3.0 EXIT CONDITIONS of FRG

- 1. The acceptance criteria for <u>all success paths in use are being satisfied</u>. and
- 2. <u>An appropriate, approved procedure to implement exists [or has been</u> approved by the Plant Technical Support Center or the Plant Operations Review Committee].



Case : FRG (cont'd)



Case : FRG (5)



CONTINGENCY ACTIONS

End of Section 4.0

10. Implement Long Term



Example: list of EOPs

F-0 The Critical Safety Function Status Trees FR-S.1 Response to Nuclear' Power Generation /ATWS FR-S.2 Response to Loss of Core Shutdown FR-C.1 Response to Inadequate Core Cooling FR-C.2 Response to Degraded Core Cooling FR-C.3 Response to Saturated Core Cooling Condition FR-H.1 Response to Loss of Secondary Heat Sink FR-H.2 Response to Steam Generator Overpressure FR-H.3 Response to Steam Generator High Level FR-H.4 Response to Loss of Normal Steam Release Capabilities FR-H.5 Response to Steam Generator Low Level FR-P.1 Response to Imminent Pressurized Thermal Shock Conditions FR-P.2 Response to Anticipated Pressurized Thermal Shock Conditions FR-Z.1 Response to High Containment Pressure FR-Z.2 Response to Containment Flooding FR-Z.3 Response to High Containment Radiation Level FR-I.1 Response to High Pressurizer Level FR-I.2 Response to Low Pressurizer Level FR-I.3 Response to Voids in Reactor Vessel



3. Severe Accident Management Guideline (SAMG)

- (1) Overview of SAMG
- (2) Technical Basis of SAMG
- (2) Improvements since Fukushima



Overview of SAMGs

- Objectives of SAMG
 - return the core to a controlled, stable state
 - terminate fission product releases
 - maintain or return the containment to a controlled, stable state
- Principles of W SAMG
 - fully symptom based
 - does not require diagnosis of previous event progression
 - uses existing plant components and instrumentation
 - used by Technical Support Center (TSC)
 - enters when core damage begins
 - takes over from EOPs at core damage no simultaneous use
 - exit when controlled stable state reached



Overview of WOG SAMG

Control Room

Severe Accident Control Room Guideline (**SACRG-1**) Initial Response

Severe Accident Control Room Guideline (**SACRG-2**) for Transients after the TSC is Functional

Technical Support Center

Diagnostic Flow Chart (DFC)

Severe Challenge Status Tree (SCST)

Severe Accident Guidelines

- SAG-1, SAG-2, SAG-3
- SAG-4, SAG-5, SAG-6
- SAG-7, SAG-8

Severe Challenge Guidelines

- SCG-1
- SCG-2
- SCG-3
- SCG-4

Graphical Computation Aids

SAEG-1

TSC Long Term Monitoring Activities

SAEG-2

SAMG Termination

WOG : Westinghouse Owners Group



Korean SAMG

Westinghouse SAMG		KSNP/Kori 1 SAMG			
MCR	SACRG-1	Severe Accident Control Room Guildeline Initial Response		Severe Accident	
	SACRG-2	Severe Accident Control Room Guildeline For Transients After The TSC Is Functional	Emergency-01	Control Room Guildeline Initial Response	
TSC	SAG-1	Inject into the Steam Generator	Mitigation-01	Inject into the Steam Generator	
	SAG-2	Depressurize the RCS	Mitigation-02	Depressurize the RCS	
	SAG-3	Inject into the RCS	Mitigation-03	Inject into the RCS	



Korean SAMG (cont'd)

	Westin	ghouse SAMG	KSI	NP/Kori 1 SAMG
тѕс	SAG-4	Inject into Containment	Mitigation-04	Inject into Containment
	SAG-5	Reduce Fission Product Releases	Mitigation-05	Reduce Fission Product Releases
	SAG-6	Control Containment Conditions	Mitigation-06	Control of CV Condition
	SAG-7	Reduce Containment Hydrogen	Mitigation-07	Control of CV Hydrogen
	SAG-8	Flood Containment	N/A	For Ice Condenser Type
TSC	SCG-2	Depressurize Containment	N/A	For CFVS
	SCG-3	Control Hydrogen Flammability	N/A	Already considered in mitigation-07, Major action is the inerting process
	SCG-4	Control Containment Vacuum	N/A	For sub atmospheric containment
CAs	CA-1	RCS Injection to Recover Core	CA-01	Injection Rate For Long Term Decay Heat Removal
	CA-2	Injection Rate For Long Term Decay Hert Removal	CA-02	Hydrogen Flammability in CV



SAMG: Using US Methodology

Country	Plant	Туре	SAMG Approach	Status
Belgium	Tihange Doel (some units)	PWR (W / Fra) PWR (W / Fra)	WOG WOG	Implemented Implemented
Netherlands	Borssele	PWR (Siemens)	WOG	Implemented
Spain	Vandellos Asco Almaraz Cofrentes	PWR (<u>W)</u> BWR	WOG } BWROG	In progress In progress
Switzerland	Beznau Leibstadt	PWR (<u>W</u>) BWR (GE)	WOG BWROG	Implemented In planning
Sweden	Ringhals	PWR (<u>W</u>)	WOG	In progress (Mblacing BERG)
UK	Sizewell	PWR (<u>W</u>)	WOG - based	Implemented
South Africa	Koeberg	PWR (Fra)	WOG	Implemented
Slovenia	Krsko	PWR (<u>W</u>)	WOG	Implemented

Overview of WOG SAMG



SAEG-2 SAMG Termination

WOG : Westinghouse Owners Group



(1) Severe Accident Control Room Guidelines

- SACRG-1 Severe Accident Control Room Guideline Initial Response
 - use by control room staff until the TSC is functional and ready
 - limited to considerations and actions until TSC is staffed
- SACRG-2 Severe Accident Control Room Guideline for Transients After the TSC is Functional
 - Use by CR when the TSC is operated after core damage has occurred
 - purpose is to enhance communication between the CR and the TSC



Entry Conditions

This guideline is entered when [core exit thermocouple temperature is GREATER THAN 1200°F and actions to cool the core are not successful.]^{w,c} [fuel clad temperature is GREATER THAN OR EQUAL TO 1800°F.]^B The following procedures contain a transition to this guideline:

[ECA-0.0]^W
 [FR-S.1]^W
 [FR-C.1]^W
 [SBCRG]^W
 [ARG-4]^W
 [EOP]^{C,B}

This guideline is entered when [plant specific indication that fuel damage has begun or actions in the abnormal operating procedure have not been successful.] The following procedures contain a transition to this guideline:

[plant specific spent fuel pool abnormal operating procedures]

Transition to this guideline may also be made from other guidelines and procedures where a unique criteria for transition is not included, based on discretion of the licensed operators, including:

- [Extensive Damage Mitigation Guidelines]
- [plant specific shutdown procedures]
- [plant specific fire abnormal operating procedures]
- [sump blockage guidelines]

(2) SAGs Severe Accident Guidelines

- <u>SAG-1 Inject into the Steam</u> <u>Generators</u>
- SAG-2 Depressurize the RCS
- SAG-3 Inject into the RCS
- SAG-4 Inject into Containment
- SAG-5 Reduce Fission Product Releases
- SAG-6 Control Containment Conditions
- SAG-7 Reduce Containment Hydrogen
- SAG-8 Flood Containment

Notes

- The following guidance represents mitigation strategies based on previous events, extended analysis, and expected severe accident phenomena. The user may deviate from this guidance based on actual plant conditions. Additional information for deviating from this guidance is provided in the Supplemental Information sections and in TSG-4, BENEFIT CONSEQUENCE, Attachment A.
- The importance of SAG-3 may be impacted by plant states such as the reactor vessel head off
 or vents open, since the benefits of injecting into the SGs would be limited in those cases.
- TSG-1, INSTRUMENTATION, provides additional guidance for identifying alternate means of measuring or inferring a plant parameter or if confirmation of an indicated parameter is desired.

Entry Conditions

- [Steam generator level LESS THAN [Le01] (Orange)]^{w,c}
- Steam generator level LESS THAN [Le05]^{W,C} [Le04]^B (Yellow)

Purpose

- To protect the steam generator tubes from creep rupture
- To provide a heat sink for the RCS
- To scrub fission products that enter the steam generators via tube leakage

(3) Diagnostic Flow Chart (DFC)

- Monitors key plant parameters
 - SG water level
 - RCS pressure
 - core temperature
 - containment water level
 - FP release
 - containment pressure
 - hydrogen concentration
- If parameters are outside the range of stable controlled state, TSC is directed to the SAGs to implement the strategies
 - <u>SAG-1 Inject into the Steam Generators</u>
 - SAG-2 Depressurize the RCS
 - SAG-3 Inject into the RCS
 - SAG-4 Inject into Containment
 - SAG-5 Reduce Fission Product Releases
 - SAG-6 Control Containment Conditions
 - SAG-7 Reduce Containment Hydrogen Korea Institutes SAC 198 FBod Containment





(4) Severe Challenge Status Tree (SCST)

- Monitors key plat state
 - State exceed the set point, all other actions are stopped and the SCGs should be implemented
 - Key parameters monitored are
 - FP release
 - containment pressure
 - containment hydrogen



(5) CAs Computational Aids

- CA-1 RCS Injection to Recover Core
- CA-2 Injection Rate for Long Term Decay Heat Removal
- CA-3 Hydrogen Flammability in Containment
- CA-4 Volumetric Release Rate from Vent
- CA-5 Containment Water Level and Volume
- CA-6 RWST Gravity Drain
- CA-7 Hydrogen Impact when Depressurizing Containment





2. Technical Basis of SAMG



- Level 1 PSA provides information on the accident sequences that lead to core damage.
- Level 2 PSA provides the progression of severe accidents and to identify plant specific challenges and vulnerabilities of the containment
- Containment event tree analysis is where the accident progression is modelled to identify the accident sequences that lead to challenges to the containment and releases of radioactive material to the environment





Difficult to identify plant specific challenges and vulnerabilities of the containment



KINS

EPRI Technical Basis Report (PBR)

- Describes:
 - potential damage states
 - potential AM actions
 - impacts of potential actions
 - physics of severe accident processes
- Describes benefits & negative impacts of each potential action for each damage state combination

EPRI	Keywords: Nuclear aslety Severe accidents Accident management guidelines	EPRI TR-101869 Volume 1 Project 3051-02 Final Report December 1992
Effective October 1.2008, this report has been made publicly available in accontence with Section the Section TAY of the U.S. Experiment Section TAY of the U.S. Experiment report is as and a revery of the publications and dear on regular any locating approximations and dear on regular any locating approximation and and any proprietary. Reveal material includes embedded in the document prior to publication.	Severe Accident Managerr Guidance Technical Basis Volume 1: Candidate High-Level Ac Their Effects	nent Report tions and
	LICENSABLE MATER NOTICE: This report contains proprietary information that is th property of EPRI. Accordingly, it is available only un EPRI and may not be reproduced or disclosed, who any Loensee to any other person or organization.	IAL e intellectual der liconse from ily or in part, by
	Prepared by Fauske & Associates, Inc. Burr Rido, Illinois	
	uur muga, 189.09	



EPRI TBR (continued)

Conditions	СС	СН	Ι	В
BD	BD/CC	BD/CH	BD/I	BD/B
EX	EX/CC	EX/CH	EX/I	EX/B

EXAMPLE OF A MATRIX OF PLANT DAMAGE STATES

Damage States:			
▶ <u>RCS</u> :	OX BD EX	- - -	oxidised fuel badly damaged fuel core ex-vessel
Containment:	CC CH I B		closed and cooled challenged impaired bypassed



Overview of Candidate High Level Actions

No	Candidate High Level Action
1	Inject into (makeup to) reactor pressure vessel/reactor coolant system
2	Depressurize the RPV/RCS
3	Depressurize steam generators
4	Inject into (feed) the steam generators
5	Spray into containment
6	Inject into containment
7	Operate fan coolers
8	Operate recombiners
9	Operate igniters
10	Vent the primary containment
11	Spray the secondary containment
12	Flood the secondary containmen

SAG-1 Inject into the Steam Generators SAG-2 Depressurize the RCS SAG-3 Inject into the RCS SAG-4 Inject into Containment SAG-5 Reduce Fission Product Releases SAG-6 Control Containment Conditions SAG-7 Reduce Containment Hydrogen SAG-8 Flood Containment



3. Improvements since Fukushima



Addressing Fukushima Lessons Learned

- Extended Station Blackout
 - Implementation of FLEX and corresponding FLEX Support Guidelines (FSG)
- Loss of instrumentation and control
 - FSG-7 and SACRG-0.0 for loss of d.c.
- One unit was in shutdown no SAMG for shutdown



- Extension of generic SAMG to cover shutdown states (PSC-1081)
- Spent Fuel Pool cooling was lost no SAMG for SFP cooling
 - FSG and FLEX equipment to makeup to SFP
 - Extension of generic SAMG to cover spent fuel pool accidents



Addressing Fukushima Lessons Learned (cont'd)

- Use of seawater potential precipitation issues
 - EPRI TBR update and WOG SAMG rev. 2 guidance
- Multi-unit accident
 - TSGs for Decision Maker
 - N+1 FLEX equipment
- Site disruption TSC unavailable/late
 - Restructuring of control room SAGs some actions systematic by operators
 - EDMG for loss of command and control



WOG/PWROG SAMG Comparison





4. Concluding remarks



This lecture shares on the Accident Management

- Concept of Accident Management
- Emergency Operation Procedure (EOP)
 - Over view
 - Strategy
 - Functional Recovery Guidelines
 - Case: Station Blackout ORG
- Severe Accident Management Guidelines (SAMG)
 - Severe Accident Management Guidelines
 - Technical Basis
 - Improvements since Fukushima



Concluding remarks

- The objectives of accident management are:
 - Prevention of the accident from leading to core damage;
 - Termination of the progression of core damage once it has started;
 - Maintaining the capability of the containment for a long as possible;
 - Minimizing on-site and off-site radioactivity releases and their consequences; and
 - Returning the plant to a long-term controlled and safe state, ensuring subcriticality, core cooling and containment integrity.
- To achieve the objectives, operating staffs use the emergency operating procedures (EOPs) and severe accident management guidelines (SAMGs), to achieve accident management objectives.
- Following Fukushima, PWROG programs were revised SAMGs and provides for a comprehensive accident management capability.



Lectures also shares on the Safety Analysis

- 1. Introduction of Safety Analysis: DSA
 - 1) Purpose
 - 2) Safety Requirements
 - 3) Analysis in the Plate Sates
- 2. Safety analysis approaches
 - 1) Classification of Initiating Events (IEs)
 - 2) Overview of Deterministic Safety Analysis
 - 3) Acceptance Criteria for DBAs
 - 4) Verification and Validation of Codes



Lecture also shares on the Severe Accident Analysis

- 1. Experiences of severe accident
- 2. Concept of core degradation events
- 3. Safety accident phenomena
 - 1) In-vessel phenomena
 - 2) Ex-vessel phenomena
 - 3) Hydrogen combustion



Lecture also shares on the Safety approach and engineering aspects

- 1. Safety approach
 - 1) Defence-in-depth and multiple barriers
 - 2) Safety margin
- 2. Engineering aspects
 - 1) Proven engineering practices
 - 2) Engineering Design Rules
 - 3) Safety function
 - Redundancy
 - Diversity
 - Fail-safe design
 - Physical separation and independence of safety systems
 - 4) Single Failure Criteria
 - 5) Safety classification
 - 6) Equipment qualification



Thanks and all the best!

I wish you all the best for staying in Korea and hope every days will bring you happy memories when you get back home!






Contact Manwoong (Man) KIM

M.Kim@kins.re.kr

